Narrating the Margins: Memory, Voice, and Resistance in the Autobiographies of Balbir Madhopuri, Manoranjan Byapari, and Manohar Mouli Biswas

Rakshit Kesari T. S.

Research Scholar

Department of English

University of Mysuru

Mysuru, Karnataka, India

rakshtk@gmail.com

Abstract

Dalit autobiography has emerged as one of the most significant literary forms for documenting the experiences of marginalized communities in India. More than personal narratives, Dalit life writings function as testimonies that challenge dominant historical accounts and recover voices systematically excluded from mainstream discourse. This paper examines Balbir Madhopuri's *Changiya Rukh*, Manoranjan Byapari's *Interrogating My Chandal Life,* and Manohar Mouli Biswas's *Surviving in My World: Growing Up Dalit in Bengal* through the interconnected frameworks of memory, voice, and resistance. It argues that these autobiographies transform individual memories of caste discrimination, social exclusion, poverty, and humiliation into collective narratives of struggle and survival. By recollecting experiences that official histories often ignore, the authors create alternative archives of Dalit life and challenge the silence imposed by caste society. The study further demonstrates how autobiographical writing becomes a political act through which marginalized subjects reclaim agency and assert their right to narrate their own histories. Through a comparative analysis of these texts, the paper highlights the role of memory as a mode of resistance and self-representation. Ultimately, the

autobiographies reveal that remembering is not merely an act of recollection but a powerful intervention against social erasure and historical marginalization.

Keywords: Dalit Autobiography, Memory, Testimony, Resistance, Self-Representation, Dalit Life Writing, Marginality.

Introduction

The emergence of Dalit literature in post-independence India marked a significant shift in the representation of marginalized communities within Indian literary discourse. For centuries, the experiences of Dalits were either ignored or mediated through dominant-caste perspectives, resulting in the exclusion of Dalit voices from literary and historical narratives. Dalit literature challenged this exclusion by foregrounding lived experiences of caste oppression and transforming literature into a vehicle of protest, assertion, and social transformation. Among the various genres of Dalit writing, autobiography occupies a particularly important position because it enables marginalized individuals to narrate their own experiences and contest dominant representations of their communities.

Dalit autobiographies differ significantly from conventional autobiographical narratives. While traditional autobiographies often celebrate personal achievement and individual development, Dalit life writing frequently documents collective histories of suffering, exclusion, and resistance. The individual life becomes inseparable from the larger social reality of caste oppression. Consequently, these narratives function simultaneously as personal testimonies, social documents, and political interventions. Through the act of narration, Dalit writers challenge the historical silence surrounding caste discrimination and reclaim the authority to represent their own lives.

Memory occupies a central place within this process of self-representation. For marginalized communities whose experiences have often been excluded from official records, memory serves as an alternative mode of preserving history. Recollections of humiliation,

segregation, labour, poverty, and social exclusion become crucial sources for reconstructing the lived realities of caste society. In this sense, memory is not merely a personal faculty but a collective and political practice. The act of remembering challenges the erasures produced by dominant historical narratives and recovers experiences that would otherwise remain invisible.

The significance of memory is particularly evident in Balbir Madhopuri's *Changiya Rukh*, Manoranjan Byapari's *Interrogating My Chandal Life,* and Manohar Mouli Biswas's *Surviving in My World: Growing Up Dalit in Bengal*. Although these autobiographies emerge from different linguistic, regional, and cultural contexts, they share a common commitment to documenting the realities of caste-based marginalization. Madhopuri recounts his experiences of growing up in a caste-segregated Punjabi village where untouchability structured everyday social interactions. Byapari narrates a life shaped by displacement, labour, poverty, and caste exclusion in Bengal. Similarly, Biswas records the struggles of negotiating caste discrimination within educational institutions and social relationships while growing up as a Dalit in Bengal. Together, these narratives reveal how caste operates not only as a social system but also as a lived experience that leaves lasting psychological and emotional traces.

At the same time, these autobiographies are not merely narratives of victimhood. Through writing, the authors transform painful memories into acts of resistance. The autobiographical text becomes a space where silenced voices speak, where marginalized histories are preserved, and where dominant narratives are challenged. The act of narration itself constitutes a political intervention because it enables Dalit subjects to reclaim agency and assert their presence within literary and historical discourse.

While existing scholarship on Dalit autobiography has explored themes such as caste oppression, identity, and social exclusion, comparatively little attention has been paid to the relationship between memory, testimony, and resistance in a comparative framework involving

Madhopuri, Byapari, and Biswas. This paper seeks to address that gap by examining how these writers employ memory as a mode of self-representation and social critique.

The study argues that the autobiographies of Balbir Madhopuri, Manoranjan Byapari, and Manohar Mouli Biswas transform personal memories into collective testimonies that challenge dominant historical narratives. Through acts of remembering and writing, these authors recover marginalized experiences, reclaim silenced voices, and construct alternative archives of Dalit history. By analysing the intersections of memory, voice, and resistance, the paper demonstrates how Dalit autobiography functions not merely as life writing but as a powerful form of cultural and political intervention.

Review of Literature

Dalit autobiography has emerged as one of the most significant forms of self-representation in contemporary Indian literature. Unlike conventional autobiographies that often emphasize personal achievement and individual growth, Dalit life narratives foreground collective experiences of caste oppression, social exclusion, and resistance. Scholars have consistently argued that Dalit autobiographies function not merely as personal narratives but as socio-political documents that challenge dominant representations of history and society.

Sharan Kumar Limbale's *Towards an Aesthetic of Dalit Literature* remains a foundational text in the study of Dalit writing. Limbale argues that Dalit literature derives its authenticity from lived experience and social reality rather than aesthetic detachment. According to him, the value of Dalit literature lies in its ability to represent the suffering, struggles, and aspirations of marginalized communities. His formulation provides an important framework for understanding Dalit autobiographies as narratives grounded in experiential truth rather than fictional imagination.

The political significance of Dalit life writing has been further explored by Sharmila Rege in *Writing Caste/Writing Gender: Narrating Dalit Women's Testimonios*. Rege contends that Dalit autobiographical narratives should be read as testimonios that challenge dominant historical narratives and recover marginalized voices. She argues that such narratives transform personal experiences into collective social histories, thereby creating alternative modes of knowledge production. Although Rege's primary focus is Dalit women's writing, her insights are equally relevant to Dalit autobiography in general because they emphasize the relationship between memory, testimony, and resistance.

Gopal Guru and Sundar Sarukkai, in *The Cracked Mirror: An Indian Debate on Experience and Theory*, highlight the epistemological significance of lived experience. They argue that marginalized communities are not merely objects of knowledge but producers of knowledge. This perspective is particularly useful for examining Dalit autobiographies, where personal experiences become sources of critical reflection and social understanding. Their work challenges the traditional separation between theory and experience and underscores the intellectual value of autobiographical narratives.

Several scholars have also examined the role of memory in preserving marginalized histories. Since Dalit experiences have often been excluded from official archives and historical records, autobiographical memory functions as an alternative repository of collective experience. Through recollections of humiliation, exclusion, labour, and resistance, Dalit writers document aspects of social reality that dominant narratives frequently ignore. Memory thus becomes an important means of preserving histories that would otherwise remain invisible.

Studies on major Dalit autobiographies further demonstrate the significance of life writing as a form of resistance. Omprakash Valmiki's *Joothan*, Narendra Jadhav's *Untouchables*, and Baby Kamble's *The Prisons We Broke* have attracted considerable scholarly attention for their portrayal of caste discrimination and social inequality. These works reveal

how autobiographical writing enables marginalized individuals to challenge structures of domination while asserting their dignity and humanity. Similarly, the autobiographical writings of Balbir Madhopuri, Manoranjan Byapari, and Manohar Mouli Biswas document the continuing impact of caste on everyday life while simultaneously creating spaces for self-representation and resistance.

Existing scholarship on Dalit autobiography has largely focused on themes such as caste oppression, identity formation, social exclusion, and political resistance. While these studies have made significant contributions to the field, comparatively little attention has been paid to the interconnected roles of memory, voice, and testimony in the autobiographies of Madhopuri, Byapari, and Biswas. Most discussions examine these writers individually or focus primarily on caste discrimination without exploring how acts of remembering themselves function as forms of resistance.

The present study seeks to address this gap by undertaking a comparative analysis of *Changiya Rukh*, *Interrogating My Chandal Life*, and *Surviving in My World: Growing Up Dalit in Bengal*. By focusing on memory, voice, and resistance, the paper examines how these autobiographies transform personal recollections into collective testimonies and construct alternative archives of Dalit experience. In doing so, the study contributes to ongoing debates on Dalit life writing, memory studies, and the politics of representation.

Theoretical Framework: Memory, Testimony, and Dalit Life Writing

This study examines the autobiographies of Balbir Madhopuri, Manoranjan Byapari, and Manohar Mouli Biswas through the interconnected frameworks of memory, testimony, and Dalit life writing. These theoretical perspectives enable a deeper understanding of how personal narratives move beyond individual recollection to become collective records of marginalized experience. Rather than functioning merely as autobiographical accounts, these texts serve as

political interventions that challenge dominant historical narratives and recover silenced voices.

The concept of collective memory, developed by Maurice Halbwachs, provides an important foundation for this analysis. Halbwachs argues that memory is not solely an individual phenomenon but is shaped by social groups and collective experiences. Individual recollections acquire meaning within broader social frameworks that influence how events are remembered and interpreted. This perspective is particularly relevant to Dalit autobiographies because the memories narrated by Madhopuri, Byapari, and Biswas extend beyond personal experience and reflect the shared realities of caste-based discrimination. Their recollections of untouchability, exclusion, humiliation, and resistance become part of a larger collective memory that preserves the history of marginalized communities.

The idea of testimony further illuminates the political significance of Dalit autobiography. Sharmila Rege argues that Dalit narratives should be understood as testimonios that challenge dominant structures of knowledge and representation. Testimony is not simply a recounting of past events; it is an act of bearing witness to experiences that have historically been ignored or suppressed. Through testimony, marginalized individuals assert their authority as narrators of their own lives and challenge the monopoly of dominant groups over historical representation. In this sense, Dalit autobiographies function as counter-histories that expose the realities of caste oppression while recovering experiences excluded from mainstream narratives.

The relationship between experience and knowledge has been explored extensively by Gopal Guru and Sundar Sarukkai in *The Cracked Mirror*. They argue that lived experience constitutes a legitimate source of knowledge and that marginalized communities must be recognized as producers rather than merely subjects of knowledge. Their intervention is especially significant for the study of Dalit autobiography because it highlights the intellectual

value of personal narratives. The experiences documented by Madhopuri, Byapari, and Biswas are not merely accounts of suffering; they generate critical insights into the operation of caste society and the mechanisms through which social inequality is maintained. Their autobiographies thus challenge conventional hierarchies of knowledge by placing lived experience at the centre of intellectual inquiry.

The theoretical framework of Dalit aesthetics developed by Sharan Kumar Limbale further strengthens this analysis. Limbale argues that Dalit literature is rooted in social reality and derives its authenticity from lived experience. Unlike literary traditions that privilege aesthetic pleasure or artistic detachment, Dalit literature seeks to expose social injustice and promote social transformation. According to Limbale, the primary objective of Dalit writing is not simply to describe oppression but to resist it. This understanding is particularly relevant to autobiographical narratives, where the act of writing itself becomes a form of resistance against structures of exclusion and silence.

Together, these theoretical perspectives reveal that memory in Dalit autobiography is neither passive recollection nor nostalgic reflection. Instead, memory functions as a political and cultural practice through which marginalized individuals preserve histories, challenge dominant narratives, and assert their presence within public discourse. Through testimony, personal experiences acquire collective significance; through lived experience, knowledge is produced; and through writing, resistance is articulated. These frameworks provide the foundation for analysing how Madhopuri, Byapari, and Biswas transform individual memories into collective narratives of survival, dignity, and resistance.

Accordingly, this study approaches *Changiya Rukh*, *Interrogating My Chandal Life*, and *Surviving in My World* as alternative archives of Dalit experience. By documenting everyday realities that official histories often neglect, these autobiographies challenge social erasure and create spaces for marginalized voices within literary and historical discourse. The

theoretical perspectives of memory, testimony, experience, and Dalit aesthetics therefore offer a productive framework for understanding the political and cultural significance of these autobiographical narratives.

Remembering Caste: Childhood, Humiliation, and Social Exclusion

The memories recorded in *Changiya Rukh*, *Interrogating My Chandal Life*, and *Surviving in My World* reveal how caste discrimination is embedded within everyday life. The autobiographical recollections of Balbir Madhopuri, Manoranjan Byapari, and Manohar Mouli Biswas are not isolated personal experiences but testimonies of a social order that systematically marginalizes Dalit communities. Through acts of remembering, these writers expose the ordinary yet brutal mechanisms through which caste hierarchy is maintained.

One of the most striking aspects of Madhopuri's autobiography is his description of spatial segregation. Reflecting upon the structure of Punjabi villages, he observes that the settlements of untouchables were always located at the margins because they were considered impure and outside the social order. He notes that Dalits were deliberately confined to the lower western ends of villages, where dirty water flowed and where they were expected to live in conditions of deprivation. Madhopuri condemns this arrangement as a "hateful, inhuman system" that continued to exist in many Indian villages (Madhopuri 10–11). Through this recollection, memory becomes a means of documenting how caste discrimination is literally inscribed upon physical space.

The violence of caste is further evident in Madhopuri's memories of religious exclusion. While attending the gurudwara, Dalit children were denied the dignity afforded to others. The priest threw *prasad* into their cupped hands from a distance to avoid physical contact. Madhopuri recalls the humiliation of watching food fall to the ground while upper-caste children received it respectfully. Reflecting upon these experiences, he painfully remarks that the only visible difference between himself and the children inside the gurudwara was that he

was poorer and darker than them (Madhopuri 12). This memory demonstrates how caste transforms even sacred spaces into sites of exclusion and degradation.

Similarly, the autobiographical narrative repeatedly records the denial of basic human dignity. Madhopuri recalls how his father and other labourers were required to carry their own utensils because dominant-caste households considered them polluting. Food was thrown into their bowls from above, and even after performing backbreaking labour they were treated as inferior beings. Observing that landlords treated their animals with greater affection than Dalit workers, he reflects bitterly that "their animals are treated better than we human beings" (Madhopuri 33–34). Such memories reveal the psychological consequences of caste oppression and the profound sense of alienation experienced by marginalized communities.

The experiences narrated by Manohar Mouli Biswas similarly expose the enduring effects of caste discrimination. His recollections frequently return to moments when caste identity overshadowed personal relationships and educational achievement. One particularly painful memory concerns the reaction of Rushita's mother, whose caste prejudice ultimately prevented a meaningful relationship from developing. Although Biswas had acquired education and social mobility, caste continued to define how others perceived him. The incident remained a lasting wound because it demonstrated that personal merit could not easily overcome entrenched social prejudice. Through such memories, Biswas illustrates how caste discrimination operates not only through economic exclusion but also through emotional and psychological injury.

The narratives of Manoranjan Byapari likewise reveal the complex intersections of caste, poverty, and displacement. As a refugee and a Dalit, Byapari occupies multiple marginalized positions. His recollections of hunger, labour, homelessness, and social exclusion demonstrate how caste oppression extends beyond ritual discrimination into the broader structures of economic deprivation. Yet his memories are never merely records of suffering.

They are also acts of witnessing through which experiences that have been ignored by official histories are preserved and transmitted.

What unites these autobiographies is their insistence that memory itself constitutes a form of resistance. By recovering experiences of humiliation that dominant society often seeks to erase or normalize, Madhopuri, Byapari, and Biswas challenge the silence surrounding caste oppression. Their narratives transform private pain into public testimony and individual recollection into collective history. The memories recorded in these texts therefore function not only as personal reflections but also as political interventions that expose the continuing realities of caste discrimination in modern India.

Voice, Silence, and Self-Representation

One of the most significant contributions of Dalit autobiography lies in its ability to transform silence into speech. For centuries, Dalit communities were denied the authority to represent themselves within literary, religious, and historical discourses. Their experiences were either ignored or interpreted through dominant-caste perspectives. Consequently, the act of autobiographical writing becomes a powerful intervention through which marginalized individuals reclaim their voices and challenge structures of exclusion. In *Changiya Rukh*, *Interrogating My Chandal Life*, and *Surviving in My World*, writing emerges not merely as a literary activity but as a political act of self-representation.

The relationship between silence and oppression is particularly evident in Madhopuri's recollections of childhood. Throughout his early life, he encounters situations in which caste identity determines his social worth. Whether in religious spaces, schools, or workplaces, Dalits are expected to accept humiliation without protest. The repeated denial of dignity creates a culture of silence in which discrimination becomes normalized. Yet Madhopuri's narrative demonstrates a growing refusal to accept such conditions. Reflecting upon the exploitation of Dalit labourers, he questions why his community should continue to work for the prosperity of

others while remaining trapped in poverty and social exclusion. He asks himself, "Should I continue to do what the rest of my community was doing? Should I work and slave for others so that they could live in luxury? No!" (Madhopuri 105–107). This moment marks an important shift from passive acceptance to critical questioning, signalling the emergence of a resistant voice.

Education and reading play a crucial role in this transformation. Madhopuri repeatedly associates books with intellectual awakening and self-discovery. His encounter with the writings of Maxim Gorky profoundly influences his understanding of struggle and resistance. He recalls being deeply moved by narratives of individuals who fought against oppression despite overwhelming obstacles. These readings encouraged him to examine the inequalities embedded within Indian society and inspired him to seek broader social change (Madhopuri 171). Through literature, he acquires a language with which to interpret his experiences and articulate his dissent.

This process of self-representation becomes even more evident in Madhopuri's reflections on caste and social inequality. Comparing the ideals of equality promoted in socialist literature with the realities of caste oppression in India, he observes that the poor and lower castes were treated with contempt despite their labour and contribution to society. He describes this condition as a deliberate conspiracy to keep marginalized communities outside the boundaries of social life (Madhopuri 173). Such reflections reveal how autobiographical writing enables Dalit authors to expose the ideological foundations of caste and challenge its legitimacy.

A particularly powerful act of self-representation occurs when Madhopuri changes his name. He explains that he adopted "Madhopuri" as part of his protest against the caste system and deepened his involvement in literary and political activities (Madhopuri 173). Naming is far from a neutral act in caste society. Names often function as markers of social identity and

hierarchy. By consciously renaming himself, Madhopuri symbolically rejects the identity imposed upon him by caste structures and asserts the right to define himself on his own terms. The act becomes an expression of agency and resistance.

The politics of naming also appears elsewhere in the autobiography. Madhopuri recounts an incident in which a Dalit family was threatened for giving their child a name associated with dominant castes. Under pressure, the family was forced to abandon the chosen name and replace it with another (Madhopuri 72). This episode reveals how caste power extends even into the realm of personal identity. The authority to name oneself becomes a site of struggle, reflecting broader conflicts over dignity, recognition, and social status.

Similar concerns emerge in the autobiographies of Byapari and Biswas. Both writers use autobiographical narration to challenge the silences imposed upon Dalit communities. Byapari's account of displacement, labour, and poverty demonstrates how marginalized individuals often remain absent from official histories despite their contributions to society. His decision to write his life story therefore becomes an act of historical recovery. Through narration, he reclaims experiences that dominant narratives have ignored and asserts the value of lives considered insignificant by mainstream society.

Likewise, Biswas's autobiography records the emotional and psychological effects of caste discrimination. His memories expose forms of exclusion that often remain invisible within conventional historical accounts. By transforming these experiences into written testimony, Biswas creates a space where marginalized voices can be heard and acknowledged. His narrative challenges the assumption that social mobility alone can erase caste prejudice and demonstrates the continuing relevance of personal testimony in confronting systemic discrimination.

The significance of self-representation is perhaps best articulated in Madhopuri's own reflections on writing. In the author's note to *Changiya Rukh*, he states that the most a writer

can do is place "a stone on the foundation of the edifice of a new society." Inspired by the writings of Dr. B. R. Ambedkar, he describes his work as an effort to promote socio-economic equality and human rights (Madhopuri xii). This statement captures the broader purpose of Dalit autobiography. Writing is not simply an act of remembrance; it is a conscious attempt to participate in social transformation.

Thus, the autobiographies of Madhopuri, Byapari, and Biswas demonstrate how voice emerges from conditions of silence and marginalization. Through autobiographical writing, these authors reclaim the authority to narrate their own experiences, challenge dominant representations, and create alternative forms of knowledge. Their narratives affirm that self-representation is not merely a literary practice but a powerful mode of resistance against historical erasure and social exclusion.

Personal Memory as Collective History

A defining characteristic of Dalit autobiography is its ability to transform individual experiences into collective histories. Although autobiographical narratives are often associated with personal memory, Dalit life writing frequently transcends the boundaries of the individual self and speaks on behalf of an entire community. The memories recorded by Balbir Madhopuri, Manoranjan Byapari, and Manohar Mouli Biswas are therefore not merely recollections of personal suffering but testimonies that illuminate the shared realities of caste oppression. Through the act of narration, these writers convert private experiences into collective histories that challenge dominant versions of the past.

This transformation from the personal to the collective is evident in Madhopuri's *Changiya Rukh*. While the narrative recounts the author's own childhood experiences, it simultaneously documents the social conditions under which Dalit communities lived in rural Punjab. His descriptions of segregated settlements, exploitative labour relations, and everyday humiliations are not presented as exceptional incidents but as routine aspects of community

life. The suffering experienced by his family reflects the broader experiences of countless Dalit families subjected to similar forms of discrimination. Consequently, Madhopuri's memories function as historical records that preserve the lived realities of an entire social group.

The collective dimension of memory is particularly visible in his account of village life. The segregation of Dalit communities, the denial of access to common resources, and the enforcement of untouchability were practices that affected entire populations rather than isolated individuals. By documenting these experiences, Madhopuri reconstructs a social history often absent from official archives. His autobiography reveals how caste operated as a comprehensive system that shaped not only individual destinies but also the collective existence of marginalized communities. In doing so, the narrative challenges historical accounts that overlook the everyday realities of caste oppression.

Similarly, Manoranjan Byapari's *Interrogating My Chandal Life* moves beyond the story of a single individual and becomes a testimony to the struggles of Dalit refugees in post-Partition India. His recollections of displacement, hunger, homelessness, and manual labour are deeply personal, yet they simultaneously represent the experiences of thousands of marginalized individuals who faced similar hardships. The narrative exposes the intersection of caste, poverty, and displacement, demonstrating how structural inequalities continue to shape the lives of vulnerable communities.

Byapari's memories acquire collective significance because they document experiences that have largely remained invisible within mainstream historical narratives. Official histories of Partition frequently focus on political developments and large-scale migration while neglecting the experiences of Dalits and the poor. Through autobiographical testimony, Byapari recovers these forgotten histories and ensures that the voices of marginalized communities are not erased from public memory. His narrative thus functions as an alternative archive that challenges dominant understandings of the past.

The autobiographical writings of Manohar Mouli Biswas similarly reveal the collective dimensions of memory. Although many of his recollections concern personal experiences of discrimination and exclusion, they consistently point toward broader social patterns. The humiliations he encounters in educational institutions, social interactions, and personal relationships are not isolated events but manifestations of deeply entrenched caste prejudices. His memories therefore illuminate the continuing operation of caste in modern Indian society and demonstrate how discrimination persists despite claims of social progress.

Biswas's narrative is particularly significant because it highlights the emotional and psychological consequences of caste oppression. The wounds created by exclusion, rejection, and humiliation are presented not simply as personal traumas but as shared experiences that affect entire communities. By narrating these memories, Biswas preserves forms of suffering that are often overlooked within conventional historical discourse. His autobiography reminds readers that the history of caste is not only a history of economic and social inequality but also a history of emotional and psychological violence.

The transformation of personal memory into collective history reflects what Sharmila Rege identifies as the testimonial nature of Dalit life writing. Dalit autobiographies do not merely recount individual lives; they bear witness to social realities that dominant narratives frequently suppress. Through testimony, personal experiences acquire broader historical significance and contribute to the formation of collective memory. The autobiographer speaks as an individual, yet the narrative resonates with the experiences of an entire community.

This testimonial function is especially important because Dalit histories have often been excluded from official records and institutional archives. Traditional historical sources frequently privilege the perspectives of political elites, dominant castes, and state institutions, leaving little space for the experiences of marginalized communities. Dalit autobiographies challenge this imbalance by creating alternative archives grounded in lived experience. The

memories preserved by Madhopuri, Byapari, and Biswas therefore become valuable historical resources that document aspects of social reality ignored by conventional historiography.

Moreover, the collective nature of these narratives fosters solidarity among readers who share similar experiences of exclusion. By recognizing their own histories within these autobiographies, members of marginalized communities are able to connect personal suffering with broader structures of oppression. The narratives thus contribute to the development of collective consciousness and social awareness. Memory becomes a means not only of preserving the past but also of building community and resistance in the present.

Ultimately, the autobiographies of Madhopuri, Byapari, and Biswas demonstrate that personal memory and collective history are deeply interconnected. Through acts of remembering and narration, these writers recover experiences that have long been marginalized within official accounts of Indian society. Their autobiographies transform individual recollections into collective testimonies that preserve community histories, challenge dominant narratives, and affirm the dignity and resilience of Dalit lives. In doing so, they establish memory as a powerful instrument of historical recovery and social resistance.

Writing as Resistance and Alternative Archive

While memory enables the recovery of marginalized histories, writing provides the means through which those histories are preserved, circulated, and transformed into instruments of resistance. For Dalit writers, autobiography is not simply a literary genre; it is a political act that contests historical erasure and asserts the legitimacy of experiences long excluded from mainstream discourse. The autobiographies of Balbir Madhopuri, Manoranjan Byapari, and Manohar Mouli Biswas exemplify how writing functions as a form of resistance against caste oppression and as an alternative archive of Dalit experience.

Unlike official archives, which often privilege dominant voices and institutional records, autobiographical narratives preserve histories from below. They document everyday

experiences, emotional realities, and forms of social violence that rarely appear in conventional historical accounts. Through writing, Dalit authors create spaces where marginalized voices can speak for themselves and challenge the authority of dominant representations.

Madhopuri's autobiography illustrates this process vividly. By recording memories of untouchability, segregation, and humiliation, he preserves experiences that might otherwise disappear from public memory. His narrative demonstrates that caste discrimination is not merely a historical phenomenon but a lived reality that continues to shape social relations. The act of writing therefore becomes an effort to ensure that these experiences are neither forgotten nor denied.

For Byapari, writing represents a remarkable journey from social invisibility to literary recognition. Having experienced extreme poverty, displacement, and manual labour, he occupies a position rarely represented within mainstream literary traditions. His autobiography challenges this exclusion by placing marginalized experiences at the centre of narrative attention. Through writing, he transforms a life marked by silence and deprivation into a powerful testimony of survival and resistance.

Similarly, Biswas employs autobiography to confront the persistence of caste prejudice in contemporary society. His narrative records not only acts of discrimination but also the emotional consequences of exclusion and rejection. By preserving these memories in written form, he creates a record that resists social amnesia and exposes the continuing realities of caste-based inequality.

These autobiographies therefore function as alternative archives. They preserve histories neglected by official institutions and offer perspectives unavailable in conventional records. More importantly, they democratize the production of knowledge by asserting that the experiences of marginalized communities are worthy of documentation and analysis. In this

sense, writing becomes a form of epistemic resistance that challenges dominant structures of knowledge and representation.

The significance of these narratives lies not only in what they remember but also in what they make possible. By documenting histories of oppression and survival, they create conditions for critical reflection, social awareness, and political engagement. Writing transforms memory into a durable form capable of reaching future generations and fostering collective consciousness.

Consequently, the autobiographies of Madhopuri, Byapari, and Biswas should be understood not merely as personal narratives but as political interventions. Through the act of writing, these authors preserve marginalized histories, challenge dominant narratives, and create alternative archives of Dalit experience. Their works demonstrate that literature can function as a powerful medium of resistance, ensuring that voices once confined to the margins become part of the broader historical and cultural record.

Conclusion

The autobiographies of Balbir Madhopuri, Manoranjan Byapari, and Manohar Mouli Biswas occupy an important place within the tradition of Dalit life writing because they transform personal experiences of caste oppression into powerful narratives of memory, testimony, and resistance. Although emerging from different regional and linguistic contexts, these writers share a common commitment to documenting the realities of marginalization and exposing the structures that sustain social inequality. Their narratives reveal that caste is not merely a social institution but a lived experience that shapes everyday interactions, opportunities, identities, and aspirations.

This study has demonstrated that memory functions as a central organizing principle in these autobiographies. The recollections of childhood humiliation, untouchability, social

exclusion, poverty, and discrimination are not presented as isolated incidents but as representative experiences of broader Dalit communities. Through acts of remembering, Madhopuri, Byapari, and Biswas recover histories that have often been ignored, distorted, or excluded from dominant accounts of Indian society. Memory thus becomes an important medium through which marginalized communities preserve their past and assert their presence within historical discourse.

The paper has further argued that these autobiographies operate as forms of testimony. Drawing upon lived experience, the authors bear witness to the realities of caste oppression and challenge the silences surrounding Dalit existence. Their narratives transform personal suffering into collective history and individual memory into social knowledge. In doing so, they contest the monopoly of dominant groups over the production of history and create alternative perspectives grounded in the experiences of the marginalized.

The study has also highlighted the significance of voice and self-representation in Dalit autobiography. For communities that have historically been denied the authority to speak for themselves, autobiographical writing becomes a powerful act of reclamation. Through narration, Madhopuri, Byapari, and Biswas reject identities imposed upon them by caste society and assert their right to define themselves on their own terms. Their writings challenge structures of silence and create spaces in which marginalized voices can be heard, recognized, and valued.

Equally important is the role of writing as resistance. These autobiographies do not merely record oppression; they actively confront it. By documenting experiences of exclusion and discrimination, the authors expose the contradictions of a society that continues to uphold ideals of equality while perpetuating caste hierarchies. Writing becomes a political practice through which hidden histories are preserved, dominant narratives are questioned, and social

injustices are brought into public view. In this sense, autobiography functions not only as a literary genre but also as a mode of cultural and political intervention.

The concept of the alternative archive provides a useful framework for understanding the broader significance of these texts. Official histories and institutional records have frequently marginalized Dalit experiences, leaving important aspects of social reality undocumented. The autobiographies of Madhopuri, Byapari, and Biswas challenge this absence by preserving memories, experiences, and perspectives that might otherwise disappear from public consciousness. Their narratives create archives of everyday life, recording forms of suffering, resilience, and resistance that conventional historical sources often overlook.

Furthermore, these autobiographies demonstrate that memory is not simply retrospective. Remembering the past becomes a means of engaging with the present and imagining a more just future. The act of recollection enables the authors to confront historical injustices while simultaneously affirming the dignity and humanity of marginalized communities. Through memory, silence is transformed into speech; through testimony, exclusion is transformed into visibility; and through writing, resistance is transformed into collective action.

Ultimately, *Changiya Rukh*, *Interrogating My Chandal Life*, and *Surviving in My World* reveal the transformative potential of Dalit autobiography. These narratives move beyond the documentation of suffering to become powerful assertions of identity, dignity, and social justice. By recovering marginalized histories and challenging dominant representations, Madhopuri, Byapari, and Biswas contribute to a broader project of historical recovery and cultural resistance. Their autobiographies remind readers that the struggle against caste oppression is not only a political and social struggle but also a struggle over memory, representation, and the right to narrate one's own history.

In this respect, Dalit life writing emerges as one of the most powerful literary forms in contemporary India. Through memory, voice, and resistance, these autobiographies create spaces for marginalized communities within the nation's literary and historical imagination. They stand as enduring testimonies to the resilience of those who have long been pushed to the margins and affirm the continuing importance of literature as a vehicle for social transformation.

Conflict of Interest: The corresponding author, on behalf of second author, confirms that there are no conflicts of interest to disclose.

Copyright: © 2026 by Rakshit Kesari T. S Author(s) retain the copyright of their original work while granting publication rights to the journal.

License: This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, allowing others to distribute, remix, adapt, and build upon it, even for commercial purposes, with proper attribution. Author(s) are also permitted to post their work in institutional repositories, social media, or other platforms.

Works Cited

Ambedkar, B. R. *Annihilation of Caste*. Navayana, 2014.

Assmann, Jan. *Cultural Memory and Early Civilization: Writing, Remembrance, and Political Imagination*. Cambridge UP, 2011.

Biswas, Manohar Mouli. *Surviving in My World: Growing Up Dalit in Bengal*. Translated by Angana Dutta and Jaydeep Sarangi, Stree, 2015.

Byapari, Manoranjan. *Interrogating My Chandal Life: An Autobiography of a Dalit*. Translated by Sipra Mukherjee, Sage Publications India Pvt. Ltd., 2018.

Dangle, Arjun, editor. *Poisoned Bread: Translations from Modern Marathi Dalit Literature*. Orient BlackSwan, 2009.

Freire, Paulo. *Pedagogy of the Oppressed*. 30th Anniversary ed., Continuum, 2000.

Guru, Gopal. "Dalit Women Talk Differently." *Economic and Political Weekly*, vol. 30, no. 41/42, 1995, pp. 2548–2550.

Guru, Gopal, and Sundar Sarukkai. *The Cracked Mirror: An Indian Debate on Experience and Theory*. Oxford UP, 2012.

Halbwachs, Maurice. *On Collective Memory*. Edited and translated by Lewis A. Coser, U of Chicago P, 1992.

Ilaiah Shepherd, Kancha. *Why I Am Not a Hindu: A Sudra Critique of Hindutva Philosophy, Culture and Political Economy*. 2nd ed., Sage Publications, 2005.

Jadhav, Narendra. *Untouchables: My Family's Triumphant Journey Out of the Caste System in Modern India*. Scribner, 2005.

Laub, Dori. "Bearing Witness, or the Vicissitudes of Listening." *Testimony: Crises of Witnessing in Literature, Psychoanalysis, and History*, edited by Shoshana Felman and Dori Laub, Routledge, 1992, pp. 57–74.

Limbale, Sharan Kumar. *Towards an Aesthetic of Dalit Literature: History, Controversies and Considerations*. Translated by Alok Mukherjee, Orient BlackSwan, 2004.

Madhopuri, Balbir. *Changiya Rukh: Against the Night*. Translated by Tripti Jain, Oxford UP, 2010.

Nayar, Pramod K. *The Indian Graphic Novel: Nation, History and Critique*. Routledge, 2016.

Omvedt, Gail. *Dalits and the Democratic Revolution: Dr Ambedkar and the Dalit Movement in Colonial India*. Sage Publications, 1994.

Paik, Shailaja. *Dalit Women's Education in Modern India: Double Discrimination*. Routledge, 2014.

Rao, Anupama. *The Caste Question: Dalits and the Politics of Modern India*. U of California P, 2009.

Rege, Sharmila. *Against the Madness of Manu: B. R. Ambedkar's Writings on Brahmanical Patriarchy*. Navayana, 2013.

Rege, Sharmila. *Writing Caste/Writing Gender: Narrating Dalit Women's Testimonios*. Zubaan, 2006.

Rodrigues, Valerian, editor. *The Essential Writings of B. R. Ambedkar*. Oxford UP, 2002.

Teltumbde, Anand. *The Persistence of Caste: The Khairlanji Murders and India's Hidden Apartheid*. Navayana, 2010.

Valmiki, Omprakash. *Joothan: A Dalit's Life*. Translated by Arun Prabha Mukherjee, Columbia UP, 2003.

Zelliot, Eleanor. *From Untouchable to Dalit: Essays on the Ambedkar Movement*. Manohar Publishers, 2001.